

FITCH AFFIRMS 32 SPANISH SF TRANCHES; DOWNGRADES**26**

Link to Fitch Ratings' Report: Fitch: Counterparty-Related Rating Watch Resolved on Spanish SF
http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=699112

Fitch Ratings-London-17 January 2013: Fitch Ratings has affirmed 32 tranches, upgraded four tranches, revised the Rating Watch on four tranches to Positive, placed three additional tranches on Rating Watch Positive and downgraded 26 tranches of 52 Spanish structured finance (SF) transactions. 66 tranches of 31 Spanish RMBS transactions remain on RWN pending a full review of the performance of their collateral portfolios, given the deteriorating conditions in the housing market. A full list of rating actions is available at www.fitchratings.com or by clicking on the link above.

The rating actions follow a review of the transactions' counterparty exposure. The tranches were placed or maintained on RWN in July 2012 following counterparty downgrades and in the absence of associated remedial actions as envisaged in the transaction documentation and reflecting Fitch's SF counterparty criteria. Fitch has taken rating actions as adequate time to implement remedial actions has elapsed.

Fitch has discussed the counterparty positions with the transaction parties over recent months and understands that further modifications to transaction structures may still be made. The agency will consider the effects of any further remedial action that is completed and may adjust its ratings accordingly. Fitch also acknowledges that appropriate remedial actions have been completed for many transactions following the downgrade of Spanish financial institutions in 2012.

The rating actions follow consideration by the agency of the specific circumstances of the transactions concerned and reflect Fitch's view of the ratings that can be supported given the current exposures relating to the counterparty roles of issuer account bank, servicer/collection account bank, and derivative providers. The ratings were determined by categorising the exposures according to one or more of the approaches outlined below.

ISSUER ACCOUNT BANK

The bank accounts of SF SPV issuers are held with the issuer account bank. Transaction cash flows are deposited into the issuer account when transferred from collection accounts. The issuer account bank may also hold transaction-specific reserves, used for credit enhancement or liquidity. The default of the account bank could lead to the loss of transaction reserve accounts, but could also lead to operational issues and payment delays as bank accounts are replaced.

For transactions where Fitch expects the issuer account bank counterparty to implement remedial action in the event of a future account bank downgrade, the notes' ratings are higher than the counterparty's rating and were determined by applying Figure 1 of Fitch's Counterparty Criteria for Structured Finance Transactions. So long as future remedial actions are implemented as per expectations, the notes' ratings will continue to be determined referencing the counterparty criteria.

For transactions where Fitch expects that future remedial action may not be implemented, the agency has analysed the ratings assuming the loss of all amounts held at the issuer account bank (reserves plus periodic collections). For transactions with sufficient credit enhancement to withstand a loss of such funds, note ratings have been capped at a level of three notches above the Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) of the bank due to the residual operational exposure to the issuer account bank. Notes' ratings exceed the IDR of the bank on the expectation that the account operations of the bank will survive a default on a bank's senior unsecured debt. The notes' ratings are likely to see further rating action if there is a change in the IDR of the account bank.

Where transactions do not have sufficient credit enhancement to withstand the loss of the above funds then the agency has capped the ratings of tranches at the rating of the account provider. The ratings of these notes are likely to be affected if there is a change in the IDR of the account bank.

SERVICER/COLLECTION ACCOUNT BANK

The servicer performs the administrative duties of depositing and transferring transaction cash flows and in Spain is usually the originating bank. The same party usually also operates as collection account bank where borrowers deposit payments upon the securitised obligations before they are transferred to the issuer account. The default of the servicer or collection account bank could result in an interruption to transaction payments and/or the loss of any commingled funds.

Fitch analysed the size and evolution of available cash reserves to the transactions. Where transaction cash reserves are expected to remain adequate to mitigate a disruption to collections (generally six months of expenses, net swap payments and note interest), Fitch did not apply any additional rating cap. These transactions could be reviewed further if there are negative performance developments which affect the future availability of cash reserves to address this risk.

Where available cash reserves were seen as insufficient to mitigate a disruption to collections, but the servicer is a bank, then the notes' ratings have been capped at three notches above the IDR of the bank servicer. This is on the expectation of continued operations, even in the event of a bank defaulting on its senior unsecured debt. The notes' ratings are likely to be affected if there is a change in the IDR of the bank servicer.

Alternatively, if a payment disruption is only expected to incur a limited deferral of note interest, Fitch considered ratings up to 'Asf' as long as the interest deferral is not expected to trigger other detrimental events such as a swap termination. Spanish SF transactions often allow for two missed payments by the issuer under the swap documentation before triggering an early termination event. Fitch believes that payment interruption as a result of a default of the servicer or collection account bank is unlikely to cause two consecutive missed payments and therefore applied a ratings cap of 'Asf'.

DERIVATIVE COUNTERPARTY

Where collateral posting arrangements are in place and Fitch expects the counterparty to implement future remedial action consistent with the criteria (e.g. replacement upon downgrade below threshold levels), the maximum rating has been determined by applying Figure 1 of the Fitch's Counterparty Criteria for Structured Finance Transactions. As long as remedial actions are implemented as expected, the ratings will remain delinked from the counterparty rating.

Where implementation of future remedial action reflecting counterparty criteria is in doubt, Fitch has considered the materiality of the swap to the transaction cash flows. Where the rating can be supported in the absence of the swap, then no additional rating caps have been applied. However, where the swap is too material to the transaction analysis, Fitch has capped the ratings of the notes at a transaction-specific level taking into account the role of the swap and the creditworthiness of the counterparty.

Contact:

Lead Surveillance Analyst (ABS)

Guili Yu

Associate Director

+44 20 3530 1259

Fitch Ratings Limited

30 North Colonnade

London E14 5GN

Lead Surveillance Analyst (RMBS)

Ibrahim Kamara

Analyst

+44 20 3530 1553

Fitch Ratings Limited
30 North Colonnade
London E14 5GN

Lead Surveillance Analyst (SC)
Selena Dewitya
Senior Director
+44 20 3530 1135
Fitch Ratings Limited
30 North Colonnade
London E14 5GN

Secondary Analyst (ABS)
Andy Brewer
Senior Director
+44 20 3503 1005

Secondary Analyst (RMBS)
Sanja Paic
Director
+44 20 3503 1282

Committee Chairperson
Juan David Garcia
Senior Director
+34 91 702 5774

Media Relations: Mark Morley, London, Tel: +44 203 530 1526, Email: mark.morley@fitchratings.com; Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212-908-0278, Email: sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com. The ratings above were solicited by, or on behalf of, the issuer, and therefore, Fitch has been compensated for the provision of the ratings.

In accordance with Fitch's policies the issuers listed below appealed and provided additional information to Fitch that resulted in a rating action which is different than the original rating committee outcome:

AyT Colaterales Global Hipotecario, FTA Serie AyT Colaterales Global Hipotecario CCM 1
Hipocat 4
Hipocat 5
Hipocat 6
IM Cajamar 5
IM Cajamar 6

In addition to those mentioned in the applicable criteria, the sources of information used to assess these ratings were investor reports, pool tapes and the following SPV management companies: Titulizacion de Activos SGFT SA, Europea de Titulizacion SA SGFT, Ahorro y Titulizacion SGFT SA, CX Titulizacion SGFT SA, InterMoney Titulizacion SGFT SA and GestiCaixa SGFT SA..

Applicable criteria: 'Global Structured Finance Rating Criteria', dated 6 June 2012, 'EMEA Consumer ABS Rating Criteria', dated 12 July 2012, 'Criteria for Rating Granular Corporate Balance-Sheet Securitisations (SME CLOs)' dated 27 November 2012, 'EMEA Residential Mortgage Loss Criteria', dated 7 June 2012, 'EMEA Criteria Addendum - Spain - Mortgage and Cashflow Assumptions', dated 24 July 2012, 'Criteria for Rating Caps in Global Structured Finance Transactions', dated 2 August 2012 and 'Counterparty Criteria for Structured Finance Transactions', dated 30 May 2012, are available at www.fitchratings.com.

Applicable Criteria and Related Research:

Global Structured Finance Rating Criteria

http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=679923

EMEA Consumer ABS Rating Criteria

http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=683560

Criteria for Rating Granular Corporate Balance-Sheet Securitisations (SME CLOs)

http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=691109

EMEA Residential Mortgage Loss Criteria

http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=681096

EMEA Criteria Addendum - Spain - Mortgage and Cashflow Assumptions

http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=682959

Criteria for Rating Caps in Global Structured Finance Transactions

http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=684737

Counterparty Criteria for Structured Finance Transactions

http://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=678938

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND
DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY
FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTP://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](http://fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION,
RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE
ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEBSITE 'WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM'. PUBLISHED
RATINGS, CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT
ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF
INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES
AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE 'CODE OF CONDUCT' SECTION
OF THIS SITE.